

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/696,820	10/30/2003	Larry Szeliga	11944-1010	1291		
24504	7590 08/26/2004		EXAMINER			
THOMAS, K	AYDEN, HORSTEME	DEPUMPO, DANIEL G				
	IA PARKWAY, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
STE 1750 ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948						
AILANIA, (	JA 30339-3948		3611	3611		

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		10/696,820	)	SZELIGA, LARRY			
		Examiner		Art Unit	411		
		Daniel G. D		3611	MW		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION mailed by available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sizely received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no ever on. a reply within the statuteriod will apply and will statute, cause the applic	or, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 October 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>✓ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 7-14 is/are allowed.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 1-4 and 15-19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) 5,6 and 20 is/are objected to.</li> <li>✓ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmer	, ,						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	<ol> <li>Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da</li> </ol>					
3) 🛛 Infor	the of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/29/04</u> .	B/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/696,820 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Numerous elements in claim 15 lack antecedent basis. It does not appear that this claim is intended to depend from claim 8.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-4, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ashton.

Ashton teaches a device having the structure as claimed. The device includes a platform 36, a pneumatic source 34, a support 12, an elevator 14 and a skirt 40. The air cushion system of Ashton is considered to constitute a pneumatic caster, to the degree claimed. The hydraulic lift 14 of Ashton is considered to constitute a pneumatically operated elevator to the degree claimed since hydraulic systems and pneumatic systems are both fluid operated systems.

- 5. Claims 7-14 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 5, 6 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,820 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

7. Claims 15-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Love and Mantle disclose various devices having features in common with the instant

invention.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is 703 308-1113.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703 308 1113. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel G. DePumpo

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3611